INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/1L04/00725

A. CLAS	SIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER					
IPC(7) : A61K 35/78						
US CL : 424/725, 745, 747						
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC						
B. FIELDS SEARCHED						
Minimum doc	cumentation searched (classification system followed by	classification symbol	s)			
	24/725, 745, 747	, 	- /	1		
0.5 42	A1123, 143, 141					
Documentatio	n searched other than minimum documentation to the	extent that such docum	ents are included in	the fields searched		
				/		
Electronic dat	a base consulted during the international search (name	of data base and, whe	re practicable, sear	ch terms used)		
WEST						
C. DOCI	JMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	propriate of the releva	ant passages	Relevant to claim No.		
	US 5,230,889 A (INOUE) 27 July 1993 (27.07.1993)			1, 13		
x	3; column 3, line 34 - column 5, line 19; claims 4-6		Including 1 iguic			
Y	5, Column 5, The 54 - Column 5, The 15, Clams 4-0	ald 10-12.		2-4, 14-19		
•				,		
Y	US 6,183,795 B (YATES) 06 February 2001 (06.02.	2001), see entire docu	ment including	1-4, 13-19		
1	column 1, line 66 - column 2, line 51; column 5, line	s 6-43: claims.		,		
	Column 1, the oo column 2, and 21, column 2, inc					
	•					
				:		
[
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent	family annex.			
• s	pecial categories of cited documents:			mational filing date or priority ation but cited to understand the		
"A" document	t defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be		heory underlying the inv			
of particular relevance						
•E• earlier an	etiessies as essent sublished on or ofter the international filing date			red to involve an inventive step		
earner ap	plication or patent published on or after the international filing date		ument is taken alone			
"L" documen	t which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	AVA 3		alaimed invention seems be		
establish specified	the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as		particular relevance; the involve an inventive ste	claimed invention cannot be when the document is		
specified,				documents, such combination		
"O" documen	t referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	being obvious	s to a person skilled in th	e art		
"P" documen	published prior to the international filing date but later than the	"&" document me	mber of the same patent	family		
priority date claimed						
Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report						
Date of the			2 APR 20	በፍ ነ		
05 April 2005 (05.04.2005)				UJ		
Name and m	ailing address of the ISA/US	Date of mailing of the international search report 1 2 APR 2005 Authorized officer Muic Lake				
	il Stop PCT, Atm: ISA/US	Christopher R. Tate				
	mmissioner for Patents	•				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Telephone No. 703-308-0196						
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230						

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/IL04/00725

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons: 1. Claims Nos.:	Box No. II	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)		
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely: Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: were not searched because they are IMPROPERLY MULTIPLY DEPENDENT as covered by the second and third sentences of PCT rule 6.4(a). Claims Nos.: 5-12 and 20 because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:			
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comptly with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: were not searched because they are IMPROPERLY MULTIPLY DEPENDENT as covered by the second and third sentences of PCT rule 6.4(a). 3. Claims Nos.: 5-12 and 20 because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest	1.			
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a). Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 3 of first sheet) This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1.	2.	because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically: were not searched because they are IMPROPERLY MULTIPLY DEPENDENT as covered by the second and third		
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: 1.	3.			
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	Box No. III	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)		
searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.	This Internati	ional Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:		
restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest	2.	searchable claims. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report		
	4. Remark on l	restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.		

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet(2)) (January 2004)